

FINAL RESULTS

uComms conducted a survey of 801 residents across the Federal Seat of Sydney on behalf of 350.org during the evenings of 13 - 14 September 2023 using self-completed automated voice polling methodologies.

Question 1:

If a Federal Election were to be held tomorrow, which of the following would you vote for in the House of Representatives (lower house)?

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>18 – 34</i>	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
<i>Liberal</i>	21.1%	17.7%	23.6%	18.3%	16.4%	19.9%	28.8%
<i>Labor</i>	42.4%	40.1%	44.0%	31.0%	36.3%	56.3%	43.4%
<i>The Greens</i>	20.3%	23.4%	17.9%	28.6%	30.3%	14.2%	10.1%
<i>Other</i>	6.6%	7.4%	6.0%	8.7%	8.0%	3.4%	6.6%
<i>Undecided</i>	9.7%	11.4%	8.5%	13.5%	9.0%	6.3%	11.1%

Question 1a:

Being undecided to which of the following do you have even a slight leaning?

	<i>Total</i>
<i>Liberal</i>	<i>27.3%</i>
<i>Labor</i>	<i>20.0%</i>
<i>Greens</i>	<i>10.9%</i>
<i>Other</i>	<i>41.8%</i>

Note: Only respondents who answered "Undecided" in Question 1 were asked Question 1a

Question 1b:

Which of the following two parties would you preference higher?

	<i>Total</i>
<i>Liberal</i>	18.0%
<i>Labor</i>	82.0%

Note: Only respondents who didn't answer 'Liberal or 'Labor in Question 1 and 1a were included in Question 1b

Question 2:

Australia’s national environmental law is being reformed for the first time since they were created by the Howard Government in the 1990s. Currently, the law makes no mention of climate change.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Australia’s environmental law should have to take climate change impacts into account.

	Total	Female	Male	18 – 34	35 – 50	51 - 65	65+
Strongly agree	67.5%	72.3%	63.8%	68.9%	77.2%	67.6%	56.8%
Agree	14.1%	13.8%	14.3%	16.5%	7.8%	18.8%	14.6%
Disagree	7.2%	5.3%	8.6%	6.8%	4.7%	2.9%	14.1%
Strongly Disagree	7.7%	4.6%	10.0%	3.9%	8.8%	7.1%	9.7%
Don’t know / not sure	3.5%	3.9%	3.2%	3.9%	1.6%	3.5%	4.9%

	Total	LIB	LAB	GRE	OTH	UND
Strongly agree	67.5%	18.4%	83.3%	94.1%	50.0%	58.3%
Agree	14.1%	28.4%	12.2%	3.0%	11.1%	18.8%
Disagree	7.2%	23.4%	1.4%	2.2%	5.6%	8.3%
Strongly Disagree	7.7%	23.4%	0.7%	0.0%	30.6%	6.3%
Don’t know / not sure	3.5%	6.4%	2.4%	0.7%	2.8%	8.3%

Question 3:

There are 25 new coal mining projects currently seeking approval from Environment Minister Tanya Plibersek. If approved, these projects could lead to 15 billion tonnes of greenhouse gas emissions.

When assessing new coal and gas projects, do you agree or disagree that the Environment Minister should consider how greenhouse gas emissions from these projects could damage Australia’s animals, forests, and natural places?

	Total	Female	Male	18 – 34	35 – 50	51 - 65	65+
<i>Strongly agree</i>	58.5%	65.7%	52.9%	61.1%	69.2%	56.0%	47.8%
<i>Agree</i>	20.1%	17.7%	22.0%	21.1%	14.6%	22.9%	22.5%
<i>Disagree</i>	10.5%	6.3%	13.7%	10.5%	5.9%	8.4%	16.9%
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	7.1%	5.2%	8.6%	6.3%	8.1%	7.2%	6.7%
<i>Don't know / not sure</i>	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%	1.1%	2.2%	5.4%	6.2%

	Total	LIB	LAB	GRE	OTH	UND
<i>Strongly agree</i>	58.5%	15.4%	65.8%	89.6%	50.0%	53.5%
<i>Agree</i>	20.1%	28.7%	22.2%	8.1%	11.1%	25.6%
<i>Disagree</i>	10.5%	25.7%	7.6%	0.0%	16.7%	7.0%
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	7.1%	23.5%	0.7%	0.0%	16.7%	11.6%
<i>Don't know / not sure</i>	3.9%	6.6%	3.6%	2.2%	5.6%	2.3%

Question 4:

The International Energy Agency and the United Nations say no new coal and gas mining can proceed if the world is to achieve net zero emissions by 2050 and Pacific Island nations have asked Australia to stop supporting such projects. Do you think Australia should stop approving new coal and gas mines?

	Total	Female	Male	18 – 34	35 – 50	51 - 65	65+
Strongly agree	50.4%	56.3%	45.8%	53.3%	58.9%	46.2%	43.2%
Agree	17.9%	17.1%	18.5%	16.3%	13.9%	23.4%	17.8%
Disagree	12.4%	9.1%	14.9%	12.0%	8.3%	12.0%	17.8%
Strongly Disagree	13.2%	9.9%	15.8%	14.1%	15.0%	10.1%	13.6%
Don't know / not sure	6.2%	7.6%	5.1%	4.3%	3.9%	8.2%	7.7%

	Total	LIB	LAB	GRE	OTH	UND
Strongly agree	50.4%	9.2%	56.8%	86.4%	40.6%	29.3%
Agree	17.9%	16.9%	23.1%	8.3%	6.3%	26.8%
Disagree	12.4%	28.5%	11.0%	2.3%	6.3%	7.3%
Strongly Disagree	13.2%	42.3%	1.5%	0.8%	34.4%	19.5%
Don't know / not sure	6.2%	3.1%	7.6%	2.3%	12.5%	17.1%

Question 5:

Do you agree with the following statement? The Albanese Labor government should be doing more to protect the environment and prevent climate change from getting worse.

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>18 – 34</i>	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
<i>Strongly agree</i>	48.1%	52.9%	44.4%	60.2%	58.1%	42.2%	36.7%
<i>Agree</i>	26.5%	24.3%	28.2%	21.6%	21.8%	30.5%	30.7%
<i>Disagree</i>	12.9%	12.5%	13.2%	10.2%	8.4%	13.6%	18.1%
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	9.4%	6.3%	11.7%	6.8%	8.9%	10.4%	10.2%
<i>Don't know / not sure</i>	3.1%	3.9%	2.4%	1.1%	2.8%	3.2%	4.2%

	<i>Total</i>	<i>LIB</i>	<i>LAB</i>	<i>GRE</i>	<i>OTH</i>	<i>UND</i>
<i>Strongly agree</i>	48.1%	14.1%	46.1%	85.3%	40.6%	58.5%
<i>Agree</i>	26.5%	22.7%	37.9%	13.2%	18.8%	19.5%
<i>Disagree</i>	12.9%	28.9%	11.7%	0.0%	15.6%	7.3%
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	9.4%	30.5%	1.2%	0.8%	21.9%	9.8%
<i>Don't know / not sure</i>	3.1%	3.9%	3.1%	0.8%	3.1%	4.9%

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Short

Short Methodology Statement	
Research conducted by	<i>uCommunications Pty Ltd – T/A uComms</i>
Commissioned by	<i>350.org</i>
End client	<i>350.org</i>
Fieldwork dates	<i>13-14 Sept 2023</i>
Mode of data collection	<i>Automated telephone poll</i>
Target population	<i>Australian eligible voters</i>
Sample size	<i>801</i>
Australian Polling Council (APC) Compliant	<i>Yes</i>
URL of Long Methodology Disclosure Statement	<i>www.ucommsapc.info</i>
Voter intention published	<i>Yes</i>

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Long

Long Methodology Statement	
Effective sample size after weighting applied	<i>725</i>
Margin of Error associated with effective sample size	<i>+/- 3.46%</i>
Variables used in weighting	<i>Ballarat population distribution (Age & Gender)</i>
Weighting method used	<i>Ranked weighting</i>
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	<i>See within attached</i>
Proportion of landline/mobile completed samples	<i>72% Mobile 28% Landline</i>
Source of Sample	<i>Households selected at random across Federal seat of Sydney</i>
Positioning of voter intention questions within survey	<i>First question after call intro</i>
Undecided voter handling	<i>Respondents who answered “Undecided” were then asked a leaning question</i>
2pp calculation method	<i>Respondent allocated preferences</i>